



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

ON SALMON'S AND MACCULLAGH'S METHODS OF GENERATING QUADRIC SURFACES.

By PROF. H. B. NEWSON, Lawrence, Kas.

The modular and umbilical methods of generating surfaces of the second degree invented by MacCullagh and Salmon, respectively, have been before the mathematical world for fifty years, and have been reproduced in every treatise on these surfaces written in this time, yet their substantial identity has not been generally recognized. This substantial identity I proceed to show as follows :

Following the presentation of the subject given in Frost's Solid Geometry, Chap. XV, MacCullagh's method leads to the equation

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = e_3^2 [(x - a)^2 \sec^2 \theta_1 + (y - \beta)^2], \quad (1)$$

where θ_1 is half the angle between the planes of real circular section ; and e_3 , the constant ratio, can easily be shown to be equal to the eccentricity of the principal section of the quadric through the medium and least axes (supposing the surface to be an ellipsoid). The planes of real circular section are perpendicular to the principal plane through the greatest and least axes, and $\tan^2 \theta_1 = -e_1^2/e_3^2$. (For this notation see ANNALS, Vol. V, p. 3). Let θ_2 denote half the angle between the planes of imaginary circular section perpendicular to the principal section through the greatest and medium axes. Then $\tan^2 \theta_2 = -e_2^2/e_3^2$. Whence it easily follows that $\sec^2 \theta_1 = -\tan^2 \theta_2$, and $\sec^2 \theta_2 = -\tan^2 \theta_1$. Now replacing in equation (1) $\sec^2 \theta_1$ by $-\tan^2 \theta_2$, we have

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = -\frac{e_3^2}{\cos^2 \theta_2} [(x - a)^2 \sin^2 \theta_2 + (y - \beta)^2 \cos^2 \theta_2]. \quad (2)$$

Salmon's umbilical method of generating quadrics leads to the equation

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = k [(x - a)^2 \sin^2 \theta_1 + (z - \gamma)^2 \cos^2 \theta_1], \quad (3)$$

where θ_1 is the same as above ; and k , the constant ratio, is easily shown to be equal to $-e_3^2/\cos^2 \theta_1$, where e_3^2 denotes the conjugate eccentricity. Equation (3) then must be written

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = -\frac{e_3^2}{\cos^2 \theta_1} [(x - a)^2 \sin^2 \theta_1 + (z - \gamma)^2 \cos^2 \theta_1]. \quad (4)$$

Replacing $\tan^2 \theta_1$ by its equal $-\sec^2 \theta_2$ we get

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = e_3^2 [(x - a)^2 \sec^2 \theta_2 + (z - \gamma)^2]. \quad (5)$$

This establishes the identity of the two methods. For e_3^2 according to the ordinary conception of the eccentricity of a conic passes through all real values between zero and infinity. When it becomes negative, it is then the square of what I have elsewhere called the conjugate eccentricity and denoted by e'_3 . Hence, in MacCullagh's modular method, if the square of the modulus be conceived to pass through the complete cycle of real values, and our conception enlarged to include imaginary, as well as real, planes of circular section; then Salmon's method appears as a particular case of MacCullagh's; viz.: when e_3^2 is negative. It must be remembered that when e_3^2 changes to e'_3^2 , θ_1 becomes θ_2 , and the planes of real circular section revolve through 90° , so that in (5) y and z should be interchanged.

By comparing equation (2) and (4), it may be argued in the same way that MacCullagh's method is only a particular case of Salmon's. Thus they are mutually inclusive, and there is no reason for regarding one more general than the other.

SOLUTIONS OF EXERCISES.

333

SHOW that

$$\sin \theta > \theta - \frac{\theta^3}{3!} + \frac{1}{45} \left[\frac{\theta^5}{2^2} - \frac{\theta^7}{2^9} + \dots (-)^{m+1} \frac{\theta^{2m+3}}{2^{\frac{1}{4}(m^2+9m+6)}} \pm \dots \right];$$

the general term being the m th within the brackets.

[W. H. Echols.]

SOLUTION.

THE general term as stated in the exercise is wrong; it should be

$$(-1)^{n+1} \frac{\theta^{2n-1}}{(2^2-1)(2^4-1)\dots(2^{2n-2}-1)2^{n-1}};$$

where n is the number of the term in the series.

We have Euler's formula

$$\sin \theta = 2^n \sin 2^{-n} \theta \cos \frac{1}{2} \theta \cos \frac{1}{4} \theta \dots \cos 2^{-n} \theta.$$

When $n = \infty$ this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sin \theta &= \theta \cos \frac{1}{2} \theta \cos \frac{1}{4} \theta \dots \text{ad. inf.} \\ &= \theta (1 - 2 \sin^2 \frac{1}{4} \theta) (1 - 2 \sin^2 \frac{1}{8} \theta) \dots \end{aligned}$$